

MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name and Address

SUGAR LAND SURGICAL HOSPITAL 1211 HIGHWAY 6 STE 70 SUGAR LAND TX 77478-4940

Respondent Name

WAL MART ASSOCIATES INC

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-10-3994-01

Carrier's Austin Representative Box

Box Number 53

MFDR Date Received

May 11, 2010

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "The enclosed claim was reimbursed incorrectly. Effective March 1, 2008 WC claims reimbursement is based on the Medicare Part a Reimbursement plus the percentage specified by the state. The payment noted above was incorrect based on the new Hospital Workers Compensation Fee Schedule."

Amount in Dispute: \$2,003.21

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: "Modifier 59 has been misused with CPT 29875 on this bill . . . As all procedures in this operative session performed on RIGHT knee, performed on contiguous structures, with exact descriptors on which NCCI Edits are based, modifier 59 exception is not warranted. . . . 1/20/2010 charges have been correctly reimbursed; no additional payment due to provider."

Response Submitted by: Claims Management, Inc., P.O. Box 1288, Bentonville, AR 72712-1288

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Date(s) of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
January 20, 2010	Outpatient Hospital Services	\$2,003.21	\$0.00

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.403, titled *Hospital Facility Fee Guideline Outpatient*, sets out the reimbursement guidelines for facility services provided in an outpatient acute care hospital.

- 3. The services in dispute were reduced/denied by the respondent with the following reason codes:
 - W1 WORKERS COMPENSATION STATE FEE SCHEDULE ADJUSTMENT.
 - 97 PAYMENT ADJUSTED BECAUSE THE BENEFIT FOR THIS SERVICE IS INCLUDED IN THE PAYMENT/ALLOWANCE FOR ANOTHER SERVICE/PROCEDURE THAT HAS ALREADY BEEN ADJUDICATED.
 - 802 CHARGE FOR THIS PROCEDURE EXCEEDS THE OPPS SCHEDULE ALLOWANCE.
 - 193 ORIGINAL PAYMENT DECISION IS BEING MAINTAINED. THIS CLAIM WAS PROCESSED PROPERLY THE FIRST TIME.
 - 5036 COMPLEX BILL REVIEWED BY MEDICAL COST ANALYSIS TEAM UR/JE
 - 1014 THE ATTACHED BILLING HAS BEEN RE-EVALUATED AT THE REQUEST OF THE PROVIDER. BASED ON THIS RE-EVALUATION, WE FIND OUR ORIGINAL REVIEW TO BE CORRECT. THEREFORE, NO ADDITIONAL ALLOWANCE APPEARS TO BE WARRANTED.
 - B15 PAYMENT ADJUSTED BECAUSE THIS PROCEDURE IS NOT PAID SEPARATELY.
 - 899 IN ACCORDANCE WITH CLINICAL BASED CODING EDITS (NATIONAL CORRECT CODING INITIATIVE/OUTPATIENT CODE EDITOR), COMPONENT CODES OF COMPREHENSIVE SURGERY: MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM PROCEDURE (20000-29999) HAS BEEN DISALLOWED.
 - 299 THIS SERVICE IS AN INTEGRAL PART OF TOTAL SERVICE PERFORMED AND DOES NOT WARRANT SEPARTE PROCEDURE CHARGE.
 - 243 THE CHARGE FOR THIS PROCEDURE WAS NOT PAID SINCE THE VALUE OF THIS PROCEDURE IS INCLUDED/BUNDLED WITHIN THE VALUE OF ANOTHER PROCEDURE PERFORMED.

Issues

- 1. Are the disputed services subject to a contractual agreement between the parties to this dispute?
- 2. What is the applicable rule for determining reimbursement for the disputed services?
- 3. What is the recommended payment amount for the services in dispute?
- 4. Is the requestor entitled to reimbursement?

Findings

- 1. Review of the submitted documentation finds no information to support that the disputed services are subject to a contractual agreement between the parties to this dispute.
- 2. This dispute relates to facility services performed in an outpatient hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.403, which requires that the reimbursement calculation used for establishing the maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR) shall be the Medicare facility specific amount, including outlier payment amounts, determined by applying the most recently adopted and effective Medicare Outpatient Prospective Payment System (OPPS) reimbursement formula and factors as published annually in the Federal Register with the application of minimal modifications as set forth in the rule. Per §134.403(f)(1), the sum of the Medicare facility specific reimbursement amount and any applicable outlier payment amount shall be multiplied by 200 percent, unless a facility or surgical implant provider requests separate reimbursement of implantables. Review of the submitted documentation finds that separate reimbursement for implantables was not requested.
- 3. Under the Medicare Outpatient Prospective Payment System (OPPS), each billed service is assigned an Ambulatory Payment Classification (APC) based on the procedure code used, the supporting documentation and the other services that appear on the bill. A payment rate is established for each APC. Depending on the services provided, hospitals may be paid for more than one APC per encounter. Payment for ancillary and supportive items and services, including services that are billed without procedure codes, is packaged into payment for the primary service. A full list of APCs is published annually in the OPPS final rules which are publicly available through the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) website. Reimbursement for the disputed services is calculated as follows:
 - Procedure code 29881 has a status indicator of T, which denotes a significant procedure subject to multiple procedure discounting. The highest paying status T APC is paid at 100%; all others are paid at 50%. This procedure is paid at 100%. This service is classified under APC 0041, which, per OPPS Addendum A, has a payment rate of \$2,016.77. This amount multiplied by 60% yields an unadjusted labor-related amount of \$1,210.06. This amount multiplied by the annual wage index for this facility of 0.9934 yields an adjusted labor-related amount of \$1,202.07. The non-labor related portion is 40% of the APC rate or \$806.71. The sum of the labor and non-labor related amounts is \$2,008.78. If the total cost for a service exceeds 1.75 times the OPPS payment and also exceeds the annual fixed-dollar threshold of \$2,175, the outlier payment is 50% of the amount by which the cost exceeds 1.75 times the OPPS payment. Per the OPPS Facility-

Specific Impacts file, CMS lists the cost-to-charge ratio for this provider as 0.179. This ratio multiplied by the billed charge of \$8,958.00 yields a cost of \$1,603.48. The total cost of all packaged items is allocated proportionately across all separately paid OPPS services based on the percentage of the total APC payment. The APC payment for this service of \$2,008.78 divided by the sum of all APC payments is 100.00%. The sum of all packaged costs is \$1,502.02. The allocated portion of packaged costs is \$1,502.02. This amount added to the service cost yields a total cost of \$3,105.50. The cost of this service exceeds the annual fixed-dollar threshold of \$2,175. The amount by which the cost exceeds 1.75 times the OPPS payment is \$0.00. The total APC payment for this service, including any applicable outlier payment or multiple procedure discount, is \$2,008.78. This amount multiplied by 200% yields a MAR of \$4,017.56.

- Procedure code 29875 is a component service of procedure code 29881 performed on the same date. Per
 Medicare policy, these two codes may not be reported on the same date of service unless a modifier is
 appended to the component code to differentiate between the services provided. Separate payment for the
 services billed may be justified if a modifier is used appropriately. Although the provider did bill this
 procedure with an allowable modifier, review of the submitted medical documentation finds that the use of
 modifier 59 is not supported. Separate payment is not recommended.
- Procedure code 29877 is a component service of procedure code 29881 performed on the same date. Per Medicare policy, these two codes may not be reported on the same date of service. A modifier is not allowed. Separate payment is not recommended.
- 4. The total allowable reimbursement for the services in dispute is \$4,017.56. This amount less the amount previously paid by the insurance carrier of \$4,023.13 leaves an amount due to the requestor of \$0.00. No additional reimbursement can be recommended.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has not established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

ORDER

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code §413.031, the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to \$0.00 reimbursement for the disputed services.

Authorized Signature

	Grayson Richardson	October 8, 2012
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute may appeal this decision by requesting a contested case hearing. A completed **Request for a Medical Contested Case Hearing** (form **DWC045A**) must be received by the DWC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. A request for hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings, Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers Compensation, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744. The party seeking review of the MDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request for a hearing to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §148.3(c), including a **certificate of service demonstrating that the request has been sent to the other party**.

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.